Real-time Imaging of the Resection Bed Using a Handheld Probe to Reduce Incidence of Microscopic Positive Margins in Cancer Surgery

Sarah J. Erickson-Bhatt¹, Ryan M. Nolan¹, Nathan D. Shemonski², Steven G. Adie¹, Jeffrey Putney³, Donald Darga³, Daniel T. McCormick⁴, Andrew J. Cittadine³, Adam M. Zysk³, Marina Marjanovic¹, Eric J. Chaney¹, Guillermo L. Monroy⁵, Fredrick A. South², Kimberly A. Cradock⁶, Z. George Liu⁶, Magesh Sundaram^{6,7}, Partha S. Ray^{6,7}, and Stephen A. Boppart^{1,2,3,5,6}

Abstract

Wide local excision (WLE) is a common surgical intervention for solid tumors such as those in melanoma, breast, pancreatic, and gastrointestinal cancer. However, adequate margin assessment during WLE remains a significant challenge, resulting in surgical reinterventions to achieve adequate local control. Currently, no label-free imaging method is available for surgeons to examine the resection bed *in vivo* for microscopic residual cancer. Optical coherence tomography (OCT) enables real-time high-resolution imaging of tissue microstructure. Previous studies have demonstrated that OCT analysis of excised tissue specimens can distinguish between normal and cancerous tissues by identifying the heterogeneous and disorganized microscopic tissue structures indicative of malignancy. In this

Introduction

Wide local excision (WLE) is commonly performed in the surgical treatment of many solid tumors, with the goal to achieve local disease control by removing the primary tumor along with a surrounding rim of additional tissue. To minimize the physical and psychological morbidity associated with surgery, the smallest possible amount of normal tissue must be removed, while still

Current address for N.D. Shemonski: Carl Zeiss Meditec, Inc., Dublin, CA; current address for S.G. Adie: Department of Biomedical Engineering, Cornell University, Ithaca, NY.

Corresponding Author: Stephen A. Boppart, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, 405 North Mathews Avenue, Urbana, IL 61801. Phone: 217-244-7479; Fax: 217-333-5833; E-mail: boppart@illinois.edu

doi: 10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-15-0464

©2015 American Association for Cancer Research.

translational study involving 35 patients, a handheld surgical OCT imaging probe was developed for *in vivo* use to assess margins both in the resection bed and on excised specimens for the microscopic presence of cancer. The image results from OCT showed structural differences between normal and cancerous tissue within the resection bed following WLE of the human breast. The *ex vivo* images were compared with standard post-operative histopathology to yield sensitivity of 91.7% [95% confidence interval (CI), 62.5%–100%] and specificity of 92.1% (95% CI, 78.4%–98%). This study demonstrates *in vivo* OCT imaging of the resection bed during WLE with the potential for real-time microscopic image-guided surgery. *Cancer Res;* 75(18); 3706–12. ©2015 AACR.

ensuring that the tumor tissue is completely excised (1). Failure to excise all tumor tissue, as determined during conventional postoperative histopathology assessment of excised specimens, may require reintervention to remove additional tissue.

Standard-of-care WLE specimen evaluation includes the surgeon's estimate of tumor size based on preoperative radiologic images (e.g., ultrasound, MRI, CT) to plan the extent of resection, and intraoperative visual, tactile, and radiographic specimen evaluation, as well as postoperative gross and histologic analysis, which can typically require several days. Additional methods for intraoperative assessment of tumor margins include frozen section (2) and touch-prep cytology (3) of the resected ex vivo specimen; however, these are infrequently used as they significantly extend surgery time, require real-time coordination with pathologists, and/or are highly operator dependent (4). It is also challenging to spatially correlate the analyzed regions on excised specimens with the corresponding locations in the resection bed (5). As the currently available tools are limited, there is a compelling need to improve upon these existing intraoperative methods to enable real-time microscopic detection of residual disease both within the resection bed and on resected specimens.

To address this need, we introduce *in vivo* label-free video-based imaging of the WLE resection bed. A unique custom-designed handheld imaging probe integrated with a custom-built portable optical coherence tomography (OCT) system (Fig. 1) is used for *in vivo* imaging during WLE in the human breast. OCT is a high-resolution label-free imaging technique that is analogous to

¹Beckman Institute for Advanced Science and Technology, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, Urbana, Illinois. ²Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, Urbana, Illinois. ³Diagnostic Photonics, Inc., Chicago, Illinois. ⁴AdvancedMEMS, San Francisco, California. ⁵Department of Bioengineering, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, Urbana, Illinois. ⁶Carle Foundation Hospital, Urbana, Illinois. ⁷Department of Surgery, College of Medicine, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, Urbana, Illinois.

Note: Supplementary data for this article are available at Cancer Research Online (http://cancerres.aacrjournals.org/).



Figure 1.

Handheld surgical imaging probe and portable OCT system for *in vivo* assessment of the WLE resection bed. A, schematic showing the OCT system components. B, the handheld surgical probe is used by the surgeon to image inside the *in vivo* resection bed and across the excised tumor specimens. C, the OCT system is integrated in a portable cart for easy transportation into the operating room and positioning near the sterile surgical field. SLD, superluminescent diode; FC, fiber optic coupler; PP, fiber polarization paddle controller; RM, reference mirror.

ultrasound imaging, but offers resolutions that are 1 to 2 orders of magnitude higher. OCT relies on the use of near-infrared light instead of sound to image biological tissues with micrometer-scale (10^{-6} m) resolution, comparable with low-magnification histology, at depths up to 2 mm in dense tissue (6). OCT has previously been used to image *ex vivo* tissue specimens for differentiation between normal and cancerous tissue (6–14), and a portable OCT system has been used for intraoperative imaging of *ex vivo* breast specimen margins and lymph nodes (8, 9, 10).

Several systems have been developed for label-free intraoperative assessment of excised breast specimens. By measuring the local electrical properties of breast tissue from a 7-mm diameter region, a handheld probe (MarginProbe, Dune Medical) applied to the surface of the excised tissue provides a positive or negative reading at each probe location (15). A quantitative diffuse reflectance imaging (QDRI) instrument measures diffuse reflectance spectra from eight discrete sites during each acquisition in breast tumor specimens (16). Fresh excised breast tumor specimens have also been rapidly imaged using confocal mosaicking microscopy (17). Finally, *ex vivo* breast cancer tumor margins have been imaged using OCT needle probes (12) and full-field OCT (14).

Although these technologies are capable of assessing excised specimens, they have not been demonstrated for *in vivo* imaging in the resection bed. Ideally, both the ex vivo specimen and the WLE resection bed assessments should be performed during the surgical procedure, in real time, to best enable the surgeon to immediately decide whether further tissue excision is required. This would likely improve oncological outcomes without having to resort to a second "take back" surgical procedure. The Margin-Probe and QDRI instruments are also not able to provide quantitative depth-resolved tumor tissue information, potentially making adherence to margin depth guidelines (18) difficult. Furthermore, the unmet need for resection bed assessment methods is compounded by the challenges associated with existing point-by-point tissue assessment techniques or those with slow data acquisition rates, as these cannot be used practically to image the entire surface area of a surgical specimen while maintaining the high resolution needed to identify microscopic margin involvement. Most critically, none of these systems have been demonstrated for *in vivo* assessment of the WLE resection bed.

New label-free imaging methods such as OCT are often preferred for *in vivo* assessment because the regulatory path for translation to clinical use can potentially be shorter. Label-free imaging methods also avoid the risks associated with dye/drug reactions and the challenges associated with specific tumor targeting and nonspecific binding. Several studies, however, have investigated the use of i.v. injected (19) or topically applied (20) fluorescent dyes to discriminate tumor from normal tissue in wide-field optical fluorescence imaging. These methods, however, are more costly, require switching off room lighting to maximize detection of the weak fluorescence, and do not provide visualization of cellular features on the micrometer scale.

In contrast with other methods that are restricted to timeconsuming point-by-point analysis, the handheld OCT probe system presented here provides a transverse scan range of 8.8 mm and an imaging rate of 11.5 frames per second. The handheld probe tip, which is placed in light contact with tissue, can be manually swept over tissue surfaces to perform depth-resolved cross-sectional imaging over large tissue surfaces, where the images are captured as videos in a manner similar to that of an ultrasound probe. This method enables the surgeon to rapidly visualize and microscopically assess the entire resection bed in addition to the excised specimens. In this work, we demonstrate assessment of the resection bed immediately following primary breast tumor mass removal for the identification of residual *in vivo* tumor tissue.

Materials and Methods

Optical coherence tomography system

A portable custom-designed spectral-domain OCT system was developed to be easily maneuvered into the operating room and positioned close to the surgical field for real-time imaging of the *in vivo* resection bed during the primary WLE procedure. The OCT system (Fig. 1) used a superluminescent diode source (Praevium Research, Inc.; 1,330-nm center wavelength, 105 nm bandwidth) and a 50/50 fiber coupler to split light between the sample arm (the handheld surgical probe) and the reference arm. The

reflected light was detected by a spectrometer and the 2D OCT images (B-scans) were displayed on the computer screen with axial and transverse resolutions of approximately 9 μ m, an image width of 8.8 mm, and a frame rate of 11.5 frames/s. The laser power on the tissue was less than 10 mW. Images were collected as a video of frames as the probe was swept across the tissue. The custom-designed probe was draped with two sterile sheaths before it was used for *in vivo* imaging of the resection bed.

Imaging study protocol

In this study, the portable OCT system and handheld probe were used to image 35 patients undergoing WLE (22 patients, including both primary and reexcision procedures) or mastectomy (13 patients) for biopsy-proven invasive and/or *in situ* breast carcinoma (see Table 1 for a summary of patient clinicopathological data) under protocols approved by the Institutional Review Boards at the University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign and Carle Foundation Hospital (Urbana, IL). Written informed consent for this Institutional Review Boardapproved study was obtained from all human subjects. Realtime videos and images of OCT data were acquired from both the *in vivo* resection bed and the excised tissue specimens in the operating room immediately following excision of the primary WLE specimen(s). For this study, the surgeons used their standard protocol for intraoperative assessment of margin adequacy

Table 1. Summary of patient demographics and clinical characteristics

and remained blinded to the results of data analysis. OCT data were not used for clinical decision making.

The surgical procedures were performed at Carle Foundation Hospital using the following protocol. (i) The surgeon excised the primary WLE specimen and determined whether excision of additional tissue was necessary via palpation, visual inspection, and, optionally, specimen radiography. (ii) The surgeon used the handheld OCT probe to sweep across the six aspects (posterior, anterior, superior, inferior, medial, and lateral) of the in vivo resection bed, collecting real-time video-based OCT images. (iii) The surgeon optionally excised additional tissue as a result of the intraoperative standard-of-care margin analysis in step 1. OCT data were not used for interventional decision making. (iv) If additional tissue was excised, the surgeon used the handheld OCT probe to image the new aspect(s) of the resection bed. (v) All excised tissue specimens were evaluated with the handheld OCT probe in the operating room by the research staff. (vi) Specimens were marked with dye at the OCT imaging sites for correlation and returned to the operating room staff for routine histopathologic examination by a board-certified pathologist.

Image analysis

The OCT images were visually analyzed to assess the tissue composition and presence/absence of cancer. Structural features in the OCT images were distinguished by differences in scattering

	Lumpectomy/partial							
Characteristic	mastectomy		Complete mastectomy		Total			
Subjects, n	22	63%	13	37%	35			
Age, y								
Mean	65		55		61			
SD	11		14		13			
Range	40-84		34-79		34-84			
≤65	12	55%	10	77%	22	63%		
>65	10	45%	3	23%	13	37%		
Surgical diagnosis ^a								
Ductal carcinoma in situ	14	64%	9	69%	23	66%		
Lobular carcinoma <i>in situ</i>	2	9%	2	15%	4	119		
Invasive ductal carcinoma	17	77%	8	62%	25	71%		
Invasive lobular carcinoma	2	9%	2	15%	4	119		
Invasive mammary carcinoma	1	5%	0	0%	1	3%		
Invasive micropapillary carcinoma	1	5%	0	0%	1	3%		
No tumor	2	9%	0	0%	2	6%		
Tumor size (greatest dimension)								
<1 cm	9	41%	4	31%	13	37%		
1–2 cm	9	41%	2	15%	11	31%		
>2 cm	3	14%	7	54%	10	29%		
No tumor	2	9%	0	0%	2	6%		
Closest tumor margin								
<1 mm	3	13%	0	0%	3	8%		
1–3 mm	9	39%	1	8%	10	28%		
>3 mm	9	39%	12	92%	21	58%		
No tumor	2	9%	0	0%	2	6%		
Specimen imaging								
Imaged margins, n	31		23		54			
Cancer	5	16%	0	0%	5	9%		
No cancer	21	68%	5	22%	26	48%		
Lacking histology correlation	5	16%	8	35%	13	249		
Additional margin resection								
Imaged margins, n	16		1		17			
Cancer	3	19%	0	0%	3	18%		
No cancer	12	75%	0	0%	12	71%		
Lacking histology correlation	1	6%	1	100%	2	12%		

^aMultiple tumor types may occur in same patient.

intensity in the OCT images (8). Low sparse scattering, which forms a relatively uniform "honeycomb" structure, is characteristic of normal adipose (fatty) tissue. Banded and fibrous structures indicate normal stromal tissue and collagen. Heterogeneous dense, high-scattering patterns and irregular disruption in the structure indicate tissue that is suspicious for malignancy.

Statistical analysis

A blinded reader study was performed to evaluate the statistical performance of the OCT imaging system in assessing tumor margins. Fifty OCT images from 21 patients were analyzed by 5 trained OCT readers who were blinded to whether the image contained cancer or not. The readers were given a training set of sample OCT images showing normal adipose and stromal breast tissue as well as images portraying cancerous features. The corresponding histology images from the same tissue locations were independently analyzed by a trained pathologist who determined that 12 of the images contained cancer and 38 of the images were not cancerous. To assess intrareader variability, a duplicate set of the 50 images were reversed (left to right) and the total 100 images were randomly arranged. Each image was viewed separately in a slide show, and readers were instructed to view and assess the images sequentially and not go back to review previous images. The images were scored on a scale of 1 to 4 as follows: (i) a score of 1 means that the reader is confident the image is negative for cancer; (ii) a score of 2 means that reader thinks that the image is likely negative for cancer, but there is some doubt; (iii) a score of 3 means that the reader thinks that cancer is likely present, but there is some doubt; (iv) a score of 4 means that the reader is confident the image is positive for cancer.

Results

In vivo OCT imaging of the surgical tumor bed

Of the 22 WLE patients that were imaged for this study, 3 were found to have positive or "very close" margins (0-1 mm) on histological analysis and another 10 were found to have cancer within 1 to 3 mm of the margin. None of the mastectomy patients were found to have positive margins. Imaging results from two representative cases are shown below.

The OCT and histopathology results from the first representative case: a 72-year-old woman undergoing WLE for biopsyproven invasive ductal carcinoma of the left breast are shown in Fig. 2. After excision of the primary WLE specimen, OCT videos and images were acquired from all six aspects of the resection bed by the surgeon using the handheld OCT probe. OCT imaging of the lateral aspect of the resection bed, of which one image is shown in Fig. 2A, suggested a positive margin based on the microscopic architecture and scattering features present within the video data, which was confirmed as ductal carcinoma *in situ* on postoperative histological examination. Within the same surgery, an additional lateral margin specimen was removed and the surgeon again used the handheld probe to acquire OCT video images within the resection bed. OCT imaging of the



Figure 2.

Video OCT cross-sectional images of a positive tumor margin from the *in vivo* resection bed and *ex vivo* excised tissue. Images are from a 72-year-old female WLE patient with invasive ductal carcinoma of the left breast. Diagrams on the left indicate the imaged regions (dashed boxes) of the resection bed or the excised specimen (not to scale), and the solid black lines in the black dashed boxes indicate the top of the corresponding OCT image. The red and blue dashed regions correspond to areas identified as cancer and normal areas, respectively. A, OCT image of the positive *in vivo* lateral tumor margin, B, OCT image of the positive *ex vivo* lateral specimen margin, with corresponding histology. C, OCT image of the positive additional *ex vivo* lateral margin tissue (same tissue as imaged *in vivo* in A), with corresponding histology. D, OCT image of the final negative *in vivo* lateral margin. Areas of interest are magnified and shown in the insets to compare normal stroma and adipose with cancerous regions. Note that histology images are only provided for the corresponding OCT images in B and C, because the images in A and D were acquired *in vivo* and hence do not have histology images to compare.

Erickson-Bhatt et al.



Figure 3.

Video OCT cross-sectional images of a negative tumor margin from the *in vivo* resection bed and *ex vivo* excised tissue. Images are from a 56-year-old female WLE patient with invasive ductal carcinoma in the left breast. Diagrams on the left indicate the imaged regions (dashed boxes) of the resection bed or excised specimen (not to scale), and the solid black lines in the black dashed boxes indicate the top of the corresponding OCT image. The blue dashed regions all correspond to areas identified as normal. A, OCT image of the negative *in vivo* superior tumor margin. B, OCT image of the negative *ex vivo* superior specimen margin, with corresponding histology. C, OCT image of the negative *ad* vivo superior superior targen tissue (same tissue as imaged *in vivo* in A), with corresponding histology. D, OCT image of the final negative *in vivo* superior. Areas of interest are magnified and shown in the insets to compare the normal stroma and adipose regions. The top right of the image in A is obscured by a complex conjugate artifact (arrow). Note that histology images are only provided for the corresponding OCT images in B and C, because the images in A and D were acquired *in vivo* and hence do not have histology images to compare.

lateral aspect of the resection bed after reexcision, of which one image is shown in Fig. 2D, indicated a negative margin. In addition to the *in vivo* OCT assessment of the resection bed, *ex vivo* video images from the corresponding regions on the excised specimens (shown in Fig. 2B and C) were acquired during the WLE procedure. Strong correspondence was found between *in vivo* (before excision) and *ex vivo* (after excision) OCT images of the same tissue region (see Fig. 2A and C) and postoperative histopathology. Note that histology images are only provided for the corresponding OCT images in 2B and C as the images in 2A and D were acquired *in vivo*, and hence do not have histology images to compare. An OCT video acquired during the surgeon's sweep of the cavity showing an example positive margin is shown in Supplementary Video S1.

Figure 3 shows the OCT and histology results from a second representative case: a 56-year-old woman undergoing WLE for biopsy-proven invasive ductal carcinoma of the left breast. As in the previous case, OCT video images were obtained from all six aspects of the *in vivo* resection bed and the primary WLE specimen. OCT imaging of the superior aspect of the resection bed, of which one image is shown in Fig. 3A, suggested a negative margin containing regions of adipose and normal breast stroma, which was confirmed on postoperative histological examination. Within the same surgery, the surgeon removed additional tissue from the superior margin, as it was deemed suspicious based on visual and tactile assessment during the surgery. Final postoperative histological analysis, however, confirmed the intraoperative OCT

finding of a negative margin, which meant that the additional normal tissue was removed unnecessarily. An OCT video acquired during the surgeon's sweep of the cavity showing an example negative margin (from a different subject) is shown in Supplementary Video S2.

Statistical analysis

The results of the blinded reader analysis are summarized in Table 2, showing the sensitivity and specificity with 95% confidence intervals (CI), positive predictive value (PPV), negative predictive value (NPV), and accuracy. The images were declared negative if given a score of 1 and positive/suspicious if given a score of 2, 3, or 4. This represents a division of the responses by declaring the image as positive if there is any level of suspicion of cancer (a score of 2, 3, or 4) and declaring the image as negative only if the reader was fully confident that there was no cancer in the image (a score of 1), which represents the clinical scenario where any margin considered "suspicious" (i.e., not fully confident to be negative) would subsequently be removed and the region would be reimaged to determine whether it is clear. The table lists the statistics for the individual readers as well as a "majority vote" where the image is declared positive or negative if at least 3 of 5 readers gave a response of positive or negative, respectively. The statistical results are calculated from the 50 unique images (with duplicates removed). Overall, the analysis resulted in sensitivity of 91.7% (95% CI, 62.5%-100%) and specificity of 92.1% (95% CI, 78.4%-98%). Intrareader analysis

Table Li Summary of statistics from Oct analysis of 50 cx mo images										
Reader	Sensitivity	95% CI	Specificity	95% CI	PPV	NPV	Accuracy			
1	83.3	54.0-96.5	89.5	75.3-96.4	71.4	94.4	88			
2	91.7	62.5-100	47.4	32.4-62.7	35.5	94.7	58			
3	33.3	13.6-61.2	97.4	85.3-100	80	82.2	82			
4	72.7	42.9-90.8	84.6	70.0-93.1	57.1	91.7	82			
5	83.3	54.0-96.5	86.8	72.2-94.7	66.7	94.3	86			
Majority (3:5)	91.7	62.5-100	92.1	78.4-98.0	78.6	97.2	92			

Table 2. Summary of statistics from OCT analysis of 50 ex vivo images

NOTE: The table lists the sensitivity and specificity (with 95% CIs), PPV, NPV, and accuracy for each of 5 blinded readers and from a majority (3 of 5 readers).

(including duplicates) showed that of a total of 250 scoring sets (5 readers, 50 sets of images), there were 41 sets where a reader assigned different scores to the duplicates images and 11 (of the 41) cases where a reader switched from a score leaning toward negative (1 or 2) to a score leaning toward positive (3 or 4). Overall, the intrareader variability was low (16.4% and 0.04%, respectively).

Discussion

The results presented here show in vivo high-resolution labelfree video-based imaging of the resection bed following WLE of the human breast. We have demonstrated that differences in the microstructural features of OCT images enable differentiation between normal and tumor tissue within the in vivo resection bed, and that these features correlate well with ex vivo OCT images and postoperative histopathology from the same regions. The OCT images in Figs. 2A and C are from the same tissue and site (the additional margin specimen) imaged in vivo (Fig. 2A) and ex vivo (Fig. 2C). The OCT image in Fig. 2B is from a different tissue (the primary tumor specimen) imaged ex vivo, which is the crossborder region (or "mirror image") of the tissue imaged in vivo. Hence, some differences can be seen in Fig. 2B, which may be due to the different tissue site or the extraction and handling during and following excision. Although exact correlation with histology is difficult due to tissue processing artifacts, distinct features indicative of positive tumor margins are evident in both OCT and histology images, and both correlate with and validate similar findings in prior studies (7, 8, 12). Moreover, OCT images of the in vivo resection bed correlate with the ex vivo cross-border regions of the same margin on the resected tumor specimen.

The primary focus of this study was to demonstrate *in vivo* OCT imaging of the surgical cavity during WLE. To perform a blinded reader study to assess sensitivity and specificity, the OCT images must be compared with the gold standard histology at the corresponding tissue locations. Because histology cannot be used for comparison with the OCT images acquired *in vivo*, the analysis was performed using the excised specimens (both primary and additional margins). For the cases where additional margins were removed during the surgery, the tissue specimen was imaged both *in vivo* and *ex vivo*; however, the specimen was inked at the OCT imaging location only after excision, so only the *ex vivo* images can be directly correlated with histology.

The outcome of any blinded image analysis study is highly dependent on the training received by the readers. For this study, the training set of images given to the readers was very limited as the small number of positive images were reserved for the analysis. The statistical analysis shows a wide discrepancy between the 5 blinded readers. Readers 1 and 5 had previous experience studying OCT images of breast tissues (not related to this study). Readers 2 and 4 had previous experience studying OCT images of other tissue types. Reader 3 had almost no previous experience studying OCT images of any type. The use of a "majority vote" for the margin assessment compensates for variability in reader experience.

Intrareader analysis was performed by comparing the grades given by the readers on duplicate images that were reversed (left to right). Some readers commented that they recognized a few of the images and suspected that they were duplicated; however, they viewed the images sequentially and were not permitted to go back to check what they had scored previous images. The overall low intrareader variability (16.4% and 0.04%, respectively) increases confidence in the readers' ability to assess OCT images.

The statistical analysis was performed using the OCT images collected from ex vivo specimens to directly compare the blinded analysis with the gold standard "true" responses from histology. Because histology cannot be performed on in vivo tissues that were imaged within the surgical cavity inside the human subject, direct correlation and analysis could not be performed for in vivo imaging. However, the OCT images shown in Figs. 2 and 3 show the ability of OCT to image corresponding features of the "mirror image" cross-border regions from the in vivo and ex vivo tissues. The in vivo performance of a system such as this will be assessed in future studies where the surgeon would use the information provided by OCT for interventional decisions, compared with the cases where the surgeon would only use current standard assessment methods (e.g., visual cues, palpation). In addition, ongoing studies that are investigating the OCT/optical differences between tumor types will likely be useful for future intraoperative tumor pathology identification.

OCT image quality can potentially be further improved using computational methods such as interferometric synthetic aperture microscopy (ISAM; refs. 21, 22), a computed real-time 3D microscopic image reconstruction technique, which addresses the inverse-scattering challenge in coherence microscopy. ISAM correction offers spatially invariant resolution throughout the imaged tissue volume, equivalent to that traditionally limited to the focal plane, and thereby eliminating the compromise between transverse resolution and depth-of-field (21, 22). ISAM-corrected OCT images of excised breast tumor tissue have shown meaningful structures at distances well outside the focal plane and normal depth-of-field (22), demonstrating the potential to further improve real-time *in vivo* imaging capabilities in the surgical setting.

This work demonstrates real-time label-free video-based imaging of the *in vivo* resection bed following WLE to detect microstructural changes characteristic of residual cancer. The incorporation of a custom-designed handheld OCT surgical probe places the technology in the surgeon's hand for immediate assessment during the primary surgery. The ability to optically image label-free inside the tumor cavity and across the resection bed addresses the critical need for improved intraoperative detection of residual disease to ensure local control, and to potentially eliminate reintervention due to postoperative margin findings. Future work will involve OCT imaging of the *in vivo* resection bed during other surgical procedures such as for melanoma and pancreatic, gastrointestinal, and thyroid cancers.

Disclosure of Potential Conflicts of Interest

D. Darga is an employee and has ownership interest (including patents) in Diagnostic Photonics, Inc. A. Cittadine is a CEO and has ownership interest (including patents) in Diagnostic Photonics, Inc. A.M. Zysk has ownership interest in and patents from Diagnostic Photonics, Inc. A.M. Zysk also has patents from the University of Illinois. S.A. Boppart has ownership interest (including patents) and is a consultant/advisory board member for Diagnostic Photonics, Inc. S.A. Boppart also has patents from the University of Illinois. No potential conflicts of interest were disclosed by the other authors.

Authors' Contributions

Conception and design: D. Darga, D.T. McCormick, A.M. Zysk, Z.G. Liu, M. Sundaram, S.A. Boppart

Development of methodology: S.J. Erickson-Bhatt, R.M. Nolan, S.G. Adie, D.T. McCormick, A.M. Zysk, Z.G. Liu, S.A. Boppart

Acquisition of data (provided animals, acquired and managed patients, provided facilities, etc.): S.J. Erickson-Bhatt, R.M. Nolan, N.D. Shemonski,

References

- Singletary SE. Surgical margins in patients with early-stage breast cancer treated with breast conservation therapy. Am J Surg 2002;184:383–93.
- Jorns JM, Visscher D, Sabel M, Breslin T, Healy P, Daignaut S, et al. Intraoperative frozen section analysis of margins in breast conserving surgery significantly decreases reoperative rates: one-year experience at an ambulatory surgical center. Am J Clin Pathol 2012;138:657–69.
- D'Halluin F, Tas P, Rouquette S, Bendavid C, Foucher F, Meshba H, et al. Intra-operative touch preparation cytology following lumpectomy for breast cancer: a series of 400 procedures. Breast 2009;18:248–53.
- Emmadi R, Wiley EL. Evaluation of resection margins in breast conservation therapy: the pathology perspective-past, present, and future. Int J Surg Oncol 2012;2012:180259.
- Molina MA, Snell S, Franceschi D, Jorda M, Gomez C, Moffat FL, et al. Breast specimen orientation. Ann Surg Oncol 2009;16:285–8.
- Boppart SA, Luo W, Marks DL, Singletary KW. Optical coherence tomography: feasibility for basic research and image-guided surgery of breast cancer. Breast Cancer Res Treat 2004;84:85–97.
- Hsiung PL, Phatak DR, Chen Y, Aguirre AD, Fujimoto JG, Connolly JL. Benign and malignant lesions in the human breast depicted with ultrahigh resolution and three-dimensional optical coherence tomography. Radiology 2007;244:865–74.
- Nguyen FT, Zysk AM, Chaney EJ, Kotynek JG, Oliphant UJ, Bellafiore FJ, et al. Intraoperative evaluation of breast tumor margins with optical coherence tomography. Cancer Res 2009;69:8790–6.
- Nguyen FT, Zysk AM, Chaney EJ, Adie SG, Kotynek JG, Oliphant UJ, et al. Optical coherence tomography: the intraoperative assessment of lymph nodes in breast cancer. IEEE Eng Med Biol Mag 2010;29:63–70.
- Zysk AM, Chen K, Gabrielson E, Tafra L, May Gonzalez EA, Canner JK, et al. Intraoperative assessment of final margins with a handheld optical imaging probe during breast-conserving surgery may reduce the reoperation rate: Results of a multicenter study. Ann Surg Oncol 2015 (in press).
- Kuo WC, Kim J, Shemonski ND, Chaney EJ, Spillman DR Jr, Boppart SA. Real-time three-dimensional optical coherence tomography image-guided core-needle biopsy system. Biomed Opt Express 2012;3:1149–61.
- 12. McLaughlin AG, Quirk BC, Curatolo A, Kirk RW, Scolaro L, Lorenser D, et al. Imaging of breast cancer with optical coherence tomography needle

S.G. Adie, M. Marjanovic, E.J. Chaney, G.L. Monroy, F.A. South, K.A. Cradock, Z.G. Liu, M. Sundaram, P.S. Ray

Analysis and interpretation of data (e.g., statistical analysis, biostatistics, computational analysis): S.J. Erickson-Bhatt, R.M. Nolan, S.G. Adie, M. Marjanovic, Z.G. Liu, M. Sundaram, P.S. Ray, S.A. Boppart

Writing, review, and/or revision of the manuscript: S.J. Erickson-Bhatt, R.M. Nolan, N.D. Shemonski, S.G. Adie, D.T. McCormick, A.J. Cittadine, A.M. Zysk, M. Marjanovic, E.J. Chaney, G.L. Monroy, F.A. South, K.A. Cradock, Z.G. Liu, P.S. Ray, S.A. Boppart

Administrative, technical, or material support (i.e., reporting or organizing data, constructing databases): R.M. Nolan, J. Putney, D. Darga, A.J. Cittadine, A.M. Zysk

Other (acquired funding to conduct research): S.A. Boppart

Acknowledgments

Study supervision: S.A. Boppart

The authors thank Darold Spillman for his operations and information technology support for this research and Doug Simpson for his helpful input on the statistical analysis of these results. They also thank Christine Canfield and the clinical research and surgical nursing staff at Carle Foundation Hospital for their contributions.

Grant Support

This research was supported in part by grants from the National Institutes of Health (1 R01 EB012479 and 1 R01 CA166309; S.A. Boppart). S.J. Erickson-Bhatt was supported by a Beckman Institute Fellowship.

Received February 13, 2015; revised June 17, 2015; accepted June 19, 2015; published online September 15, 2015.

probes: feasibility and initial results. IEEE J Sel Top Quantum Electron 2012;18:1184-91.

- John R, Adie SG, Chaney EJ, Marjanovic M, Tangella KV, Boppart SA. Threedimensional optical coherence tomography for optical biopsy of lymph nodes and assessment of metastatic disease. Ann Surg Oncol 2013;20: 3685–93.
- Assayag O, Antoine M, Sigal-Zafrani B, Riben M, Harms F, Burcheri A, et al. Large field, high resolution full-field optical coherence tomography: a preclinical study of human breast tissue and cancer assessment. Technol Cancer Res Treat 2014;13:455–68.
- Schnabel F, Boolbol SK, Gittleman M, Karni T, Tafra L, Feldman S, et al. Randomized prospective study of lumpectomy margin assessment with use of MarginProbe in patients with nonpalpable breast malignancies. Ann Surg Oncol 2014;21:1589–95.
- Brown JQ, Bydlon TM, Kennedy SA, Caldwell ML, Gallagher JE, Junker M, et al. Optical spectral surveillance of breast tissue landscapes for detection of residual disease in breast tumor margins. PLoS ONE 2013;8:e69906.
- Abeytunge S, Li Y, Larson B, Peterson G, Seltzer E, Toledo-Crow R, et al. Confocal microscopy with strip mosaicing for rapid imaging over large areas of excised tissue. J Biomed Opt 2013;18:61227.
- Moran MS, Schnitt SJ, Giuliano AE, Harris JR, Khan SA, Horton J, et al. Society of Surgical Oncology–American Society for Radiation Oncology consensus guideline on margins for breast-conserving surgery with wholebreast irradiation in stages I and II invasive breast cancer. Ann Surg Oncol 2014;21:704–16.
- Tummers QR, Verbeek FP, Schaafsma BE, Boonstra MC, van der Vorst JR, Liefers GJ, et al. Real-time intraoperative detection of breast cancer using near-infrared fluorescence imaging and Methylene Blue. Eur J Surg Oncol 2014;40:850–8.
- Urano Y, Sakabe M, Kosaka N, Ogawa M, Mitsunaga M, Asanuma D, et al. Rapid cancer detection by topically spraying a γ-glutamyltranspeptidaseactived fluorescent probe. Sci Transl Med 2011;3:110ra119.
- Ahmad A, Shemonski ND, Adie SG, Kim HS, Hwu WM, Carney PS, et al. Real-time *in vivo* computed optical interferometric tomography. Nat Photonics 2013;7:444–8.
- 22 Ralston TS, Marks DL, Carney PS, Boppart SA. Interferometric synthetic aperture microscopy. Nat Phys 2007;3:129–34.