National Center for Principled Leadership & Research Ethics Academic Unit Diagnostic Tool (AUDiT): Teaching Version Total Score:		
Vibrant Units (0 to 5)	Warning Signs (0 to 3)	Challenged Units (0 to 5)
Respectful dealings among colleagues, department; consistently high student feedback; inclusive, welcoming	Complaints disproportionate to other units, campus	Serious misconduct: discrimination; sexual; financial; criminal, etc. (arrests, lawsuits)
Curricular adaptations meet changing needs (student, etc)	Email and/or social media wars, harassment, silos, conflict aversion	Culture that suppresses or hides problems, punishes reporting; faculty schisms, battles, flareups
Culture of excellence and quality; innovation in teaching supported	Enrollment declines, lack of curricular innovation; cancelled or missing classes regular occurrence	Curricular stagnation, lack of student interest in offerings; outdated curriculum; absence of diversity, or even a commitment to it
Support and mentoring for faculty and students alike, recognition that different people have different mentoring needs; equitably shared workloads	Weak P&T practices; many terminal associate professors	Toxic or unwelcoming atmosphere, especially for junior faculty, students, underrepresented groups
Open discussion of ideas and research; excellent teaching modeled and rewarded	Declining scholarly indicators (few curricular innovations, little sharing of research on learning)	Scholarly/teaching standing below institution's; uneven in unit
Distributed service responsibilities, aligned with faculty strengths with awareness of uneven burdens	Poor student progress to program completion/graduation/degree	Departmental business at a standstill; in gridlock
High level of communication—willingness to listen, compromise, deal with problems openly	Ad hoc practices; forum-shopping; seeking desired answers from different officers; hiding problems	Lack of transparency, hidden agendas; uneven application of policies; faculty involve students in disputes
Openness, transparency, shared governance	Weak or ineffective hiring; requests for transfers, departures	Repeated inability to hire, retain quality faculty, staff
Leadership has high expectations, uses policies evenhandedly, makes decisions, builds community	Conflict, miscommunication, and disrespect between groups; Bimodal evaluations; generational discord; externalizing problems	Weak or autocratic leadership; different messages to different audiences; meddling by previous leader of unit ——
Collective vision of goals and priorities, aligned with institutional message	Many individual priorities without shared purpose	Scattered individual priorities without shared purpose
TOTAL	TOTAL (subtract)	TOTAL (subtract)



