

National Center for Principled Leadership & Research Ethics Diagnostic Tool

Total Score:

Vibrant Units (0 to 5)	Warning Signs (-3 to 0)	Challenged Units (-5 to 0)
Respectful dealings among colleagues, department	Complaints disproportionate to other units, campus	Serious misconduct: discrimination; sexual; financial; criminal, etc. (arrests, lawsuits)
Openness, transparency, shared governance	Email wars, harassment, silos, conflict aversion	Containment culture; faculty schisms, battles, flareups
Culture of excellence and quality; strong candidates	Weak or ineffective hiring	Repeated inability to hire, retain quality faculty, staff
Support and mentoring for faculty and students	Weak P&T practices; many terminal associate professors	Toxic atmosphere for junior faculty, students
Open discussion of ideas and research; ——high productivity	Declining scholarly indicators (productivity, PhDs, PhD placement time to degree)	Scholarly standing below university's; uneven in unit
Distributed service responsibilities, aligned with faculty strengths	Requests for transfers, departures	Departmental business at a standstill; in deadlock
High level of communication—willingness to listen, compromise	Ad hoc practices; forum-shopping; seeking desired answers from different officers	Lack of transparency, hidden agendas; involvement of students in faculty disputes
Curricular innovations, adaptations to meet changing student, campus, needs	Enrollment declines, lack of curricular innovation	Curricular stagnation, lack of student interest in offerings; outdated curriculum
Leadership has high expectations, uses policies, makes decisions, builds community	Bimodal evaluations; generational discord; externalizing problems	Weak or autocratic leadership; different messages to different audiences
Collective vision of goals and priorities.	Many individual priorities without shared purpose	Limited sense of priorities
TOTAL	TOTAL	TOTAL