CIRICAL INFRASTRUCTURE RESILIENCE INSTITUTE A DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY CENTER OF EXCELLENCE

Homeland Security Challenge

- Bridges are critical nodes in the U.S. transportation system
- 11% of 600,000 bridges structurally deficient (ASCE, 2017)
- Submit National Bridge Inventory (NBI) to FHWA
- Bridge lengths > 20 ft visually inspected \rightarrow \$2.7 billion
- Developing machine learning models:
 - Historical bridge data
 - Environmental data



Approach / Methodology

• **Big Bridge Data (BBD) development** (Liu and El_Gohary 2016):

- NBI and traffic \rightarrow FHWA
- Spatially locating bridges
- Climate \rightarrow PRISM
- Hazard \rightarrow USGS



- **28 Independent variables:** 19 NBI and traffic, 7 climate, and 2 hazard.
- Dependent Variables





- Machine learning models:
- Has a deck or not \rightarrow Binary classification \rightarrow Random Forest
- Predict deck condition rating \rightarrow Multiclass classification
- Random forest
- XGBoost
- Multilayer perceptron neural network (NN)

Development of the Big Bridge Data Across the Conterminous U.S. for Deck Condition **Rating Prediction Using Machine Learning Algorithms**

Author: Fariba Fard Faculty Advisor: Dr. Zhenhua Huang

Outcomes / Results



Binary c	lassification mod	lel
\bullet		

Random forest

#	Multiclass classification models
1	Random forest
2	XGBoost
3	Multilayer perceptron NN

Main_Design Deck_Area Length_Max_Span Deck_Type Wearing Surface Temp Max Temp Min Deck_Geometry Days_MaxGT_70F Days_MinLT_32F Precipitation Number_Spans_Main Avg_Wind_Speed Highway_District Operating_Rating Design_Load Peak_Wind_Speed Lanes On Curb Width Spans Material Spans_Design Reconstructed Max Shake Frequency_Shake_GT4



- Main design \rightarrow important predictor variable in identifying bridge decks.
- Age or the number of years since the last major reconstruction
- Climate variables
- Average Daily traffic (ADT)



- ASCE. 2017. "Infrastructure report card." Report card for America's infrastructure. Accessed February 09, 2021. https://2017.infrastructurereportcard.org/cat-item/bridges/.
- In Proc. 2016 ASCE Construction Research Congress (CRC). Reston, VA: ASCE. 930-939. https://doi.org/10.1061/9780784479827.094.
- Zhu, J., & Wang, Y. (2021). Feature Selection and Deep Learning for Deterioration Prediction of the Bridges. Journal of Performance of Constructed Facilities, 35(6), 0402107







	Accuracy		
	99.7 %		
	Accuracy		
	60.3 %		
	58.9%		
	50%		

• Contributing predictor variable in determining the deck condition rating:

• Liu, K, and N. El Gohary. 2016. "Semantic modeling of bridge deterioration knowledge for supporting big bridge data analytics."





• **3Vs Characteristics of BBD:**

This research was performed under an appointment to the U.S. Department of Homeland Security (DHS) Science & Technology (S&T) Directorate Office of University Programs Summer Research Team Program for Minority Serving Institutions, administered by the Oak Ridge Institute for Science and Education (ORISE) through an interagency agreement between the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) and DHS. ORISE is managed by ORAU under DOE contract number DE-SC0014664. All opinions expressed in this paper are the author's and do not necessarily reflect the policies and views of DHS, DOE or ORAU/ORISE.



Conclusions and follow-up research

• Spatial data \rightarrow information at different positions in one year

• Spatiotemporal data \rightarrow information over the years (Zhu & Wang, 2021)

Spatial dimension

• Volume: 30 times bigger than BBD of 2020 • Velocity: one year updating speed • Variety: heterogeneous sources and different formats

• Five-year spatiotemporal BBD from 2016 to 2020 was collected:

• Random forest multiclass classifier $\rightarrow 83.8\%$ accuracy • Forecast the condition ratings of $2021 \rightarrow \approx 68\%$

• More research is needed:

• Collecting spatiotemporal BBD of different years (available since 1992)

• Develop ML models and evaluate their performances for forecasting of 2021 and 2022

Acknowledgements

