## **Inquiry Checklist**

**Procedure** Document Receipt of allegation(s) collect information nature of allegation possible respondents necessary evidence receipt is it a matter covered by misconduct regulations? would informal steps be possible or appropriate? determine if frivolous or clearly mistaken Consult with department head (or next in administrative line) of respondent letter if not frivolous or clearly mistaken, inform dean Dean makes determination about whether inquiry is necessary or desirable select inquiry team members charge letter note: charge letter should have policy attached, written orientation information for team members, if any, and background on allegations, if available and appropriate Inform respondent of inquiry provide written notice of charge, rights under policy notice of inquiry secure evidence (if necessary) receipt Inform complainant of inquiry notice of inquiry Arrange meeting of inquiry team orientation of team members requirements of policy dynamics of process information on allegations, review of evidence available meet with complainant meet with respondent meet with others, as appropriate secure additional information, as needed & appropriate (meet with any of witnesses again, as necessary) Come to conclusions; draft report Submit report to required official transmittal letter date of team appointment, compliance with procedural requirements inquiry report\* how many meetings, for how long with whom met evidence reviewed findings & conclusions recommendations re investigation Decision re initiation of investigation / termination of inquiry if no investigation recommended: notify respondent letter, report\* notify complainant letter notify witnesses letter notify others informed of inquiry letter collect documents, seal file letters if investigation recommended: notify respondent, solicit comments on report letter, report\* notify initiator letter notify witnesses letter

letter

letter

notify others informed of inquiry

notify agencies