National Center for Principled Leadership & Research Ethics Academic Unit Diagnostic Tool (AUDiT): Edition A Total Score: Geen column - Yellow - Red:		
Vibrant Units (0 to 5)	Warning Signs (0 to 3)	Challenged Units (0 to 5)
Respectful dealings among colleagues, department, dealing with differences and disagreements openly; inclusive, welcoming	Complaints disproportionate to other units, campus	Serious misconduct: discrimination; sexual; financial; criminal, research, etc. (arrests, lawsuits)
Openness, transparency, effective shared governance	Email and/or social media wars, harassment, silos, conflict aversion	Culture that suppresses or hides problems; punishes reporting; faculty schisms, battles, flareups
Culture of excellence and quality; strength of candidates an expectation for all hires	Weak or ineffective hiring, lack of diversity, requests for transfers, departures	Repeated inability to hire and/or retain quality faculty, staff; absence of diversity, or even a commitment to it
Support, mentoring for faculty, students alike; recognition that different people have different mentoring needs; equitably shared workloads	Weak P&T practices; many terminal associate professors	Toxic atmosphere, especially for junior faculty, underrepresented students
Open discussion of ideas and research; high productivity	Declining scholarly indicators (productivity, PhDs, PhD placement, time to degree)	Scholarly standing below university's; —— uneven in unit
Distributed service responsibilities, aligned with faculty strengths, with awareness of uneven burdens	Financial disarray	Departmental business at a standstill; in gridlock; unproductive or nonexistent unit meetings
High quality of communication— willingness to listen, compromise, deal with problems openly	Ad hoc practices: irregular or unclear policies; seeking desired answers from different offices; hiding problems	Lack of transparency, hidden agendas, uneven application of policies, faculty involve students in disputes
Curricular innovations, adaptations to meet changing student, campus, needs	Enrollment declines, lack of curricular innovation	Curricular stagnation, lack of student interest in offerings; outdated curriculum
Leadership has high expectations, uses policies evenhandedly, makes decisions, builds community	Conflict, miscommunication, and disrespect between groups; generational discord; externalizing problems; bimodal evaluations	Weak or autocratic leadership; different messages to different audiences; meddling by previous leader of unit
Collective vision of unit goals and priorities, aligned with institutional mission	Limited or shifting sense of unit goals and priorities	Scattered individual priorities without —— shared purpose
TOTAL	TOTAL (subtract)	TOTAL (subtract)



