

Supporting Security with Advanced Multimodal Grid Data Analytics Micro Synchrophasor-Based Intrusion Detection in Automated **Distribution Systems**

M. Jamei, A. Scaglione, E. Stewart, S. Peisert, C. McParland, C. Roberts, and A. McEachern

GOALS

Combine high-resolution µPMU data & sniffed DSCADA for intrusion detection.



CURRENT SECURITY CHALLENGES

- Firewalls, authentication, cryptographic algorithms, intrusion detection systems (IDS)... are insufficient.
- Solutions <u>are divorced</u> from the knowledge of the physics of the system, safe operations and limits, and its current physical operating point.
- Extended IDS notion in previous work that checks the compliance of sniffed SCADA data with cyber-physical rules can be blind to some sophisticated attacks.

Hypotheses Testing:

- *Hypothesis 1* [3]: N.O. breakers are activated either after fault clearance for energy restoration, or before fault clearance by attacker. Consequently, sag either does not transfer, or transfers with delay.
- Hypothesis 2 [1]: Only plausible if the transmission grid is not stiff with respect to transients compared to the distribution feeders.
- Hypothesis 3 V: voltage sags seen concurrently with the same severity in both feeders.

Lessons Learned:

- Proof of μPMU ability in capturing grid anomalies.
- Ability to reason about different grid behaviors, which was not possible using just DSCADA data.
- Further verification about the cause of the event requires the DSCADA data to be checked (e.g., the status of the switches during the event).

ALL-EMBRACING IDS FRAMEWORK

• We envision the following framework:

| [| SCADA Data Link | |
|---|--|----------------|
| | SCADA Common Data Link (SS1-SS2) | Control Center |
| | PDC-uPMU Data Link | |
| | to /former Combined Combine Data Usedu | |

MICRO-PMU DATA (A GAME CHANGER)

- Situational awareness through µPMU devices.
- Significantly more information vs. event-triggered DSCADA data.







- Many cyber-attacks leave footprints in the µPMU data.
- Detected µPMU anomalies + knowledge of grid operation
 - security status hypotheses testing.





Example:

Voltage sags detected. What caused the event?

Key Observations:

- Both Feeders are impacted.
- 2. Severity is the same at all µPMUs.
- Duration and start time are almost the same at all µPMUs.



What happens at Stage 1 next to µPMU:

- ✓ Detect anomalies in the voltage phasor magnitude (static rules), and in current phasor magnitude and active and reactive power (dynamic rules).
- ✓ Formulate and test some hypotheses regarding the cause of detected anomalies.

✓ Pre-processing data for stage-2 local IDS.



- Fault at one of the two feeders and spreading to the other one through the closed Normally Open (N.O.) breakers?
- 2. Fault at one of the two feeders and spreading to the other one through substation?
- Remote transmission-level fault?

- What happens at Stage 2 (fuse a few μ PMUs and DSCADA)?:
- ✓ Check the compliance of the reported event from stage 1 with the DSCADA traffic and other µPMUs.
- ✓ Formulate & test additional hypotheses with local grid picture.

What happens at central IDS (fuse all µPMUs and DSCADA)?:

- ✓ Check the compliance of the reported event from stage 2 with the DSCADA traffic and other µPMUs.
- \checkmark Formulate and test the final set of hypotheses with full grid picture.

FUTURE EFFORTS

- Enriching stages and central rules to form a robust structure.
- Prioritize important vulnerabilities and simulate attack scenarios to validate the efficacy of the proposed architecture and rules.
- Real-world test and integration under BRO framework.

CYBER RESILIENT ENERGY DELIVERY CONSORTIUM | CRED-C.ORG

FUNDING SUPPORT PROVIDED BY THE DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY, OFFICE OF ELECTRICITY DELIVERY & ENERGY RELIABILITY