#### **Tack Coat Implementation & Success**





U.S. Department of Transportation Federal Highway Administration

## **Tack Coat Best Practices**

FHWA Cooperative Agreement Subtask

Longitudinal Joints Intelligent Compaction



## **Overall Purpose**

... to improve the overall bonding of pavement layers;
to decrease distresses associated with poor bond;
and to improve overall pavement performance.

#### **Tack Coat Workshops**











## Successful Tack Coat

The Ultimate Goal: Uniform, complete, and adequate coverage



## Importance of Tack Coats

- Promote the bond between pavement layers
- To prevent slippage between pavement layers
- Vital for structural performance
- Achieve optimum density
- Prevent rutting





## Loss of Fatigue Life Examples

- May & King:
  - 10% bond loss = 50% less fatigue life
- Roffe & Chaignon
  - No bond = 60% loss of life
- Brown & Brunton
  - No Bond = 75% loss of life
  - $\circ$  30% bond loss = 70% loss of life



#### Loss of Life

## **Consequences of Poor Bonding**

- Costly pavement repairs
  - Repair of isolated area relatively inexpensive
  - Removal and replacement of a portion or the entire pavement structure is very expensive
  - Shorter than expected performance can be devastating for agency budgets
  - Influences future Life Cycle Cost Analysis

#### Everyone MUST be on the same page

#### What we are talking about:

- Original Emulsion—an undiluted emulsion which primarily consists of a paving grade binder, water, and an emulsifying agent.
- Diluted Emulsion—an emulsion that has been diluted with <u>additional</u> water.
  - Critical to control
  - 1:1 typical (Original Emulsion: Added Water)
- Residual Asphalt—the remaining asphalt after an emulsion has set typically 57–70 percent.

# What's wrong (if anything) with the following specification regarding application rate?:

"Apply the tack coat at a rate of 0.05 gallons/yd<sup>2</sup>"

## What difference does it make?

If the example spec *intended* 0.05 *gal/yd*<sup>2</sup> of residual asphalt:

**Original emulsion** applied at **0.05 gal/yd<sup>2</sup>** using an emulsion with 60% residual asphalt, leaves **0.03 gal/yd<sup>2</sup>** on the roadway?

#### 40% less than intended

## What difference does it make?

If the example spec *intended* 0.05 *gal/yd*<sup>2</sup> of residual asphalt:

Diluted Emulsion using the same emulsion diluted 1:1 with water and applied at 0.05 gal/yd<sup>2</sup> leaves 0.015 gal/yd<sup>2</sup> on the roadway?

#### 70% less than intended

## What difference does it make?

If the example spec *intended* 0.05 *gal/yd*<sup>2</sup> of residual asphalt:

To receive **Residual Asphalt** at **0.05 gal/yd<sup>2</sup>** using an emulsion with 60% residual asphalt, the contractor would need to apply:

0.083 gal/yd<sup>2</sup> of Original Emulsion or 0.167 gal/yd<sup>2</sup> of 1:1 Diluted Emulsion

#### Isolated Slippage Failure



#### Slippage Failure





#### 8-10 years est. Interstate Pavement



## **Cores Showing Debonding**



Courtesy of MoDOT

## So is it worth it to apply a tack coat?

### Cost of Tack Coat

New or Reconstruction

• About 0.1-0.2% of Project Total

About 1.0-1.5% of Pavement Total Cost

Mill and Overlay

 About 1.0-2.0% of Project Total

About 1.0-2.5% of Pavement Total Cost

## Estimated Cost of Bond Failure in the Top Lift

- Assume no inflation for materials
- Estimated traffic control
- Used project plans for thicknesses
- Used bid tabs for:
  - Milling
  - Material costs
  - Replaced pavement markings

## 30-100% of Original Pavement Costs

## What is the Risk?



## **Obstacles In Getting a Good Tack?**

#### Project Pressure due to:

- Working in short construction windows
- Cool, damp weather
- Night time paving
- High traffic areas
- Proper surface cleaning



## Current Research

- NCHRP 9-40a
- SHRP2
- Arkansas
- Colorado
- Illinois
- Louisiana

- NCAT
- Texas
- Wisconsin
- Oregon
- MnRoads
- International

## Testing

#### Field/Laboratory Bond Testing

- Shear Testing
- Torsion Testing
- Pull–Off Testing (tensile)
- Cyclic



## Trends From 35 Workshops

FHWA Best Practices Tech Brief (Dec. 2015)

## **Trends From 35 Workshops**

- DOTs Specification Revisions
  - Increasing Application Rates
    - Spray rates to increase residual rate
  - Adjusting application rates for different surfaces
    - Fresh asphalt, old asphalt, milled, PCC
  - Adding more heat prior to spraying

## **Trends From 35 Workshops**

#### DOTs Specification Revisions

- Verifying Calibration of Distributors
- Adding more heat prior to spraying
- Eliminating dilution from specifications
  - Only when needed and only once by supplier
- Tack as Separate Pay Item vs. Incidental Item
- Moving to Stiffer Base Asphalts
  - Improve bonding & reduce tracking

## **Future Trends**

- Performance testing as proof of bond strength
  - DOT's will adopt a standardized test
  - Monitoring results for a period of time
  - Establish a baseline as minimum
  - Eventually, contactors will be rewarded or penalized
    - Based on test results







Wayne Jones Senior Regional Engineer wjones@asphaltinstitute.org